19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	IN RE: MDL Docket No 04-1606 VRW
12	DEEP VEIN THROMBOSIS ORDER LITIGATION
13	
14	This Document Relates To:
15	ALL WARSAW CASES
16	/
17	
18	

In addition to all other matters pertinent to the motions noticed for hearing on December 8, 2005, the parties should be prepared to address the following:

- (1) What is the precise rationale of the High Court of Australia's holding in Povey v Qantas Airways Ltd?;
- In the absence of an industry-wide practice of warning (2) passengers of the risk of DVT, what are the implications of allowing claims against individual airlines that

adopted a policy of warning passengers of the risk of DVT while disallowing claims against individual airlines that did not adopt such a policy?; and

(3) Which, if any, of the Warsaw defendants are <u>not</u> regulated by the Federal Aviation Administration?

IT IS SO ORDERED.

VAUGHN R WALKER

Mulch

United States District Chief Judge